



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/428,052	10/27/1999	KIYOSHI IRINO	970901A	4139
23850	7590 11/29/2001			
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN, HATTORI, MCLELAND & NAUGHTON, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW, SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			DIAZ, JOSE R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Lauricentie)
~~~	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/428,052	IRINO, KIYOSHI
•	Examiner	Art Unit
·	José R. Díaz	2815
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2001 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this app : (1) a timely filed amendment wi beal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tir	hication. A proper reply to a
	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the second of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of t ned statutory period for reply originally set	he fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellands</li> <li>CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)</li> </ol>	nt's Brief must be filed within the CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissa	e period set forth in Il of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	i because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require full	rther consideration and/or search	n (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number o	of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	jection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	t for reconsideration has been co	nsidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLEI	Y to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be entered on s would be rejected is provided b	r b)∏ will be entered and an elow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:	
Claim(s) allowed: 15 and 16.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 6 and 10-13.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disa	approved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s	). <del>[ ]</del> . [ ]

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

## 



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation "wherein activation of said impurity element is conducted simultaneously to said thermal annealing process", as presented in the amendment, sets forth subject matter which was not considered in the finally rejected claim and hence presents new issues which require further consideration and/or search. However, Applicant is advised that the proposed limitations are taught by Ito et al. in column 4, lines 34-39 and 47-48. Furthermore, claim 13 fails to further limit the subject matter of the proposed claim 6. Therefore, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.